

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,858	10/10/2001	Yuzuru Suzuki	SZI 2 0017	7122
75	90 04/08/2003			
Jay F. Moldovanyi, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP 7th Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			JONES, JUDSON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAN ED 04/00/0000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/973,858	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Judson H Jones	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/973,858

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. 5,595,089 A in view of Kiefer 6,464,421 B1 and Matsushita et al. 5,829,119 A. Watanabe discloses a linear type actuator having coils 2, a rotor unit with a field magnet 5, an output shaft 6 movable in an axial direction and converting means 41 as shown in figure 1 and as described in column 4 lines 13-46 and column 5 lines 29-42. Watanabe et al. does not disclose stator sub-assemblies, pole teeth on an inner circumference of the stator subassemblies and does not disclose the material of the converting means. Matsushita et al. teaches in column 5 lines 25-37 making a motor with stator subassemblies which increases the number of steps available to the motor. Matsushita et al. also teaches in column 4 lines 5-35 inserting yoke plates to form pole

Application/Control Number: 09/973,858

Art Unit: 2834

teeth on the inner surface of a stator. While Matsushita et al. does not mention the motive for doing this, it is well known in the art that pole teeth focus the flux between the stator and rotor elements and thus increase the efficiency of motors. Since Matsushita et al. and Watanabe et al. are from the same field of endeavor it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized stator subassemblies to increase the number of steps available to a motor and to have utilized yoke plates to form teeth to focus the flux between the coils and magnets and thus to increase the efficiency of a motor. Kiefer et al. discloses a converting means 344 as described in column 5 lines 12-31 and describes the material used to make the converting means. Since Watanabe et al. failed to disclose any material for making the converter means, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a polymer composite resin filled nylon to make the converting unit.

In regard to claim 2, see element 344 in figure 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a linear type actuator having a rotor as described where the rotor unit is integrally constituted by insert molding a field magnet, magnet stoppers to hold and protect the magnets and conversion means as recited in claim 3. In

Watanabe et al. the conversion means is separate from the molded rotor piece with a power

Application/Control Number: 09/973,858

Art Unit: 2834

Page 4

transmitting member in between the conversion means and the rotor. Applicant's prior art figure 1 discloses conversion means inside the rotor but does not disclose insert molding the conversion means. Baus 4,714,367 A teaches making a nut or threaded sleeve integral with an injection molded clamp and then teaches the alternative of inserting and embedding a threaded sleeve into the molded piece. However no reason has been found for combining Applicant's prior art figure 1 with the teachings of Baus to make the instant invention. Also no reason has been found for combining the teachings of Baus with Watanabe et al., Matsushita et al. and Kiefer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-0115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JHJ / / / March 30, 2003

RUSTOR FAMINEZ

RUPERSTORY ONTENT EXAMINER

TEO LO LOS CENTER 2800